

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,234	10/28/2003	Timothy Thurgate	AMD-H0545	5277
75	90 10/26/2004	•	EXAM	INER
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			TRAN, MAI HUONG C	
Third Floor Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA	95113		2818	
			DATE MAILED: 10/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/696,234	THURGATE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mai-Huong Tran	2818				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover shee	with the correspondence addr	'ess			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C 6DX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the ply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma ion. a, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) for statute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comre a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status	`						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 October 2004.					
·		This action is non-final.					
•	,						
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicate) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction are	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application	on Papers		·				
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) 🔲 🏻	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been received. uments have been received i e priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National St	tage			
Attachment	· (s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/696,234 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-26 are pending in this application.

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 11-26, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, and subclass 315.

Group II. Claims 1-10, drawn to process of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, and subclass 257.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be use to make other and materially different product or by hand, or (2) that process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instance case unpatentabilities of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by the processes materially different from those of the group II invention.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,234 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran, (571) 272-1796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Mai-Huong Tran